

## Federal Highway Administration, DOT

## § 661.45

### **§ 661.37 What percent of a specific project's construction costs is covered under this program?**

The following funding provisions apply in administration of the IRRBP:

(a) 100 percent IRRBP funding would be provided for a BIA owned IRR bridge;

(b) Up to 80 percent of the IRRBP funding would be provided for a State, county, or locally owned non-BIA IRR bridge;

(c) States, counties, local and tribal governments would be required to provide at least 20 percent of the funds for non-BIA owned IRR bridges;

(d) The IRRBP funding ceiling for any single non-BIA owned IRR bridge project would be \$1.5 million.

### **§ 661.39 When are IRR bridge projects eligible for funding?**

The statute provides that IRR funds to carry out IRRBP projects shall be made available only on approval of the PS&E by the Secretary (FHWA). Approval consists of having completed and approved bridge design, specifications and estimates. The project must be ready for construction, right of way must have been acquired, and the project contract must be awarded within 120 calendar days of funding. A copy of the FHWA or BIADOT PS&E approval letter, certification checklist and IRRBP TIP must be forwarded by the area office to the BIADOT/FLH for review and acceptance. For non-BIA IRR bridges, the application package must also include a tribal resolution supporting the project. Submittal of an incomplete application package would form the basis for project disapproval and the BIA area office would have to revise and resubmit the package.

### **§ 661.41 What does a complete application package consist of?**

A complete application package would consist of the following: the FHWA or BIADOT PS&E approval letter, certification checklist and IRRBP TIP. In addition to the preceding items, for non-BIA IRR bridges, the application package must also include a tribal resolution supporting the project.

### **§ 661.43 How are the FY 1998 projects to be treated?**

In order not to penalize any BIA area office which completed PS&E packages in FY 1998 that were not funded because the project selection/fund allocation procedures for distribution of funds for FY 1998 were not in place, the funds for approved projects would be made available to the BIA area offices on receipt and acceptance of their application packages.

### **§ 661.45 How is a list of deficient bridges to be generated?**

(a) In consultation with the BIA, a list of deficient BIA IRR bridges will be developed each fiscal year by the FHWA based on the annual April update of the NBI. The NBI is based on data from the inspection of all bridges. Likewise, a list of non-BIA IRR bridges will be obtained from the NBI. These lists would form the basis for identifying bridges that would be considered potentially eligible for participation in the IRRBP. Two separate master bridge lists (one each for BIA and non-BIA IRR bridges) will be developed and will include, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) Sufficiency rating (SR);
- (2) Status (structurally deficient or functionally obsolete);
- (3) Average daily traffic (NBI item 29);
- (4) Detour length (NBI item 19); and
- (5) Truck average daily traffic (NBI item 109).

(b) These lists would be provided by the FHWA to the BIADOT for publication and notification of affected BIA area offices, Indian tribal governments (ITG)s, and State and local governments.

(c) BIA area offices in consultation with ITGs, are encouraged to prioritize the design for bridges that are structurally deficient over bridges that are simply functionally obsolete, since the former is more critical structurally than the latter. Bridges that have higher average daily traffic (ADT) should be considered before those that have lower ADT. Detour length should also be a factor in selection and submittal of bridges, with those having a higher detour length being of greater concern. Lastly, bridges with higher